

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/070,338	KARAM ET AL
	Examiner GREG BENGZON	Art Unit 2444

All Participants:

(1) GREG BENGZON.

Status of Application: _____

(2) _____.

(3) Matthew Ellsworth, Reg. 56345.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 1,24,54

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Bengzon discussed possible claim amendments to overcome USC 101 issues and further prosecution towards allowance. Mr. Ellsworth presented claim amendments and indicated authorization for Examiner's Amendments.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444
/G. B./
Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)